

**MEETING MINUTES FOR
BUILDING 371 EXTERNAL SCOPING "PARKING LOT" ITEM RESOLUTION**

- 1.0 Purpose: The meeting was held January 13, 2000 with the Lead Regulatory Agency (State of Colorado) ~~and the Support Regulatory Agency (United States Environmental Protection Agency)~~ to discuss action items and topics from the external scoping meeting that needed to be addressed with a more focused discussion.
- 2.0 Attendees:
Fred Gerdeman, DOE
Chris Gilbreath, CDPHE
Catherine Madore, Kaiser-Hill (Tennera)
Joe Majestic, Kaiser-Hill
Peter Sanford, Kaiser-Hill (SAIC)
John Wrapp, SSOC
- 3.0 Parking Lot/Action Items:
- 3.1 Stacker/retriever endpoints (Chris Gilbreath) - Joe Majestic
 - 3.2 Plan logic ties, contingencies, and life-cycle management (Chris Gilbreath) - Joe Majestic
 - 3.3 Centralized Size Reduction facility (Steve Tarlton) - Jeff Stevens (*Discussed at the December D&D PMR; item closed*)
 - 3.4 Authorization Basis strategy for decommissioning (Dave Faulkner) - Joe Majestic (*this is a DOE issue, and not a regulator issue*)
 - 3.5 DOP/RSOP review and approval process (Steve Tarlton) - Joe Majestic (Fred Gerdeman)
 - 3.6 Meeting Minutes - Pete Sanford (*Signed off at 1/13/00 meeting*)
- 4.0 Discussion:
- 4.1 Catherine described the revised 371 DOP format and table of contents, the groupings of sections, approximate schedules, and where the elements called for in the DPP would be located. Pete provided the overall schedule and discussed the review cycles and public comment period. Pete also ~~generally~~ described a recommended strategy for the public involvement prior to the document release. The approach of using identical language in the DOP and RSOP, and the intent to resolve technical issues in the RSOP review process in advance of the release of the DOP sections was discussed. This resolved item 3.5.
 - 4.2 Joe described the elements external to Building 371 control that would impact the building, including liquid processing in CWTS (from OASIS, etc), 374 operation, PuSPS as SRS dependent, Wet Combustibles as WIPP dependent, etc. He offered to set up a meeting with Planning and Integration personnel (~~e.g. Schubert~~) to discuss this in more detail. He also indicated that the PA might shrink around Building 371, which ~~might~~ would impact some of the dependencies. This resolved item remains open pending a meeting with P&I to discuss baseline schedule logic and activity ties. 3.2.
 - 4.3 The stacker/retriever endpoint was discussed both from the general endpoints perspective and specific to the stacker process. John discussed the general completion endpoints, the endpoints by system, and the equipment-specific data. Various individuals discussed the stacker endpoints in terms of the overall stacker removal process. (As I recall, we didn't exactly float Chris' boat with our discussions: Did this close, or did we take an action???)
 - 4.4 Chris brought up the Reconnaissance-Level Characterization process, and requested the IWCP packages. He also wanted to know more about the readiness determination process. This item remains open pending the delivery of a representative IWCP and a meeting to further discuss the strategy and scope of the RLC plan.

ADMIN RECCRD

1A-A-000436

- 4.5 Joe asked if there were any issues involved in the removal of a glovebox in the south end of the PROVE room that was never connected to ventilation or used to make additional floor space available for PuSPS and wet residue repackaging. ~~Action levels were discussed~~ A decision document would be required, however multiple options are allowed by the DPP.

5.0 Action Items:

- 5.1 Chris indicated his interest in how to deal with UBCs, and identifying contingencies and dependencies with other buildings. (This really isn't an action. Should this be replaced with my action to set up the meeting with P&I??)
- 5.2 Pete will ~~set up with Tom Scott to~~ provide a copy of the RLC IWCP and arrange a meeting to discuss the content.
- 5.3 Pete will get with George Miller on the notification, timing, and approach for CDPHE involvement in applicable 371 readiness determinations.

~~5.4 PROVE GLOVEBOX?~~